Approved For Release 2004/01/16: CIA-RDP75-00149R000500310044-6

SECRECY IN HEARINGS ON FOREIGN AID PROGRAM

fusal of the Secretary of State to appear around the world to stamp out freedom, then public hearings on education open session before the Com-Mr. MORSE. · Mr. President, the remittee on Foreign Relations on the foreign aid program should tell Congress, the American people, and the world a great deal about the nature of the American aid program and the purposes to which it is being put.

His insistence upon secrecy in initiating the aid debate reflects the growing furtive and stealthy character of bilateral aid as a tool and weapon of American foreign policy. There once was a time when the great bulk of aid, even military, was fully covered in open hearings with the Secretary of State, with only a few questions in sensitive areas reserved for executive session. In 1966. we have reached the stage where he will not present the foreign aid program in public session, but seeks to reverse the normal procedure by offering the possibility that he may come back later for an open hearing. Up to now, it has been customary for a leading cabinet officer to present the opening testimony on a foreign policy matter in public and leave to a future closed session the relating toward government by executive tively few matters of a security nature, supremacy and government by secrecy, Why the change?

aid that is discussed behind locked doors and human development, for we should the same way the CIA budget is discussed behind locked doors, for you may lations device, or a real change in the never know what is being done for you emphasis of the program.

Now the Secretary of State takes positively and that the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same what is being done to you under the same whether the same way the class that the same way the class that the same way the class the same way the class the same way the class that the same way the class that the same way the class the same way the class that the same way such a program."

was unveiled with considerable fanfare That tells me almost as much as hearing about its new emphasis upon education what he has to say. He has already anand food production. It was a hopeful swered many of the questions I would that foreign aid was becoming little more than wallpaper over the cracks and breaks in the social and economic structure of recipient countries, countries private explanation of the secretive, that must develop their human and I will not help to dignify the conceal-to have a foundation on which to build a growing standard of living.

I can read; and as a member of the secretive by becoming a party to it.

In the last decade, foreign aid to developing countries has conformed to an economic theory that we abandoned in this country over 30 years ago—the trickle-down theory of economic growth. We have sent American commodities have done in the point of marking up the bill. I can offer amendments this year, as I abroad under the aid program on the belief that if we put enough wealth into the upper crust of the society, some would trickle down to the masses below, provident at least a modicum of improvement their lot. But I am frankly of the Morcover, I shall have much more in their lot. But I am frankly of the Moreover, I shall have much more opinion that Herbert Hoover economics fruitful endeavors in the Subcommittee are not going to do any more for the less on Education of the Committee on Labor and Public Welfare, where we are heardepression-ridden America.

education instead, we would do more for can do about them. the people of the world in 1 year than we will do under 20 years of the current, hearings of the Subcommittee on Educa-program. Of course, many of the gov- tion scheduled for Monday if the Secernments of countries we are aiding do retary of State had been willing, in a not want that kind of assistance. The public hearing, to talk about the foreign American aid program has been designed policy that belongs to the American peo-

As chairman of the Subcommittee on American Republics Affairs of the Com- gon is concerned, and speaking as a mittee on Foreign Relations, let me say member of the Committee on Foreign that the shocking record of the United Relations, there never would have been States by way of military aid in South any hearings on foreign aid this year if America has been a record of the stifling they had to be held under the dictates of of freedom in many parts of Latin Amer- the Secretary of State in secret session. ica, the Dominican Republic being the I would have let him sit in the Departlatest.

The Johnson administration now has a Secretary of State who wants to ap-pear before the Committee on Foreign the past: "Do not forget that in a de-Relations in a secret session. Again, mocracy there can be no substitute for a the senior Senator from Oregon fore-full disclosure of the public's business. warns the American people, as he has Foreign policy belongs to you, not to supremacy and government by secrecy. The Johnson administration is gallopand this is its latest ride.

"Beware," I say to foreign govern- These are some of the issues that ments; "beware of American aid that the should be explored this year in consider-American people are not told about by amine into the nature and the extent their Government. Beware of foreign their Government. Boware of foreign of this new emphasis upon basic resource

Now the Secretary of State takes posi-This particular foreign aid program in public in his opening presentation. was unveiled with considerable fanfare That tells me almost as much as hearing about its new emphasis upon education.

Moreover, I shall have much more developed nations than they did for a and Public Welfare, where we are hearing in open and public session about the If we assigned every cent of the \$917 education needs of present and future million programed for military aid to generations of Americans, and what we

In fact, I was willing to postpone the

In fact, American economic and mil-ceded to the Secretary's insistence that itary aid—particularly military aid—has the appear only in executive session, I not supported military juntas around the only shall not be present, but shall pro-

So far as the Senior Senator from Orement of State and twiddle his thumbs. Oh, if the American people could only less he were willing to conform to what set the facts about foreign aid. If the I think is a precious guarantee in a American people could get the facts about democracy, a guarantee which the American people could get the facts about the democracy, a guarantee which the American people could be the democracy, a guarantee which the American people could be the democracy, a guarantee which the American people could be the democracy, a guarantee which the American people could be the democracy and guarantee which the American people could be the democracy and guarantee which the democracy are guarantee which the democracy and the democracy and guarantee which the democracy and the democracy and the democracy and the democracy are guaranteed to the democracy and the democracy are democracy and the democracy and t their foreign aid, they would hold the ican people are entitled to have the Com-Johnson administration to a political mittee on Foreign Relations, the Senate, and Congress protect.

I say to the American people from this watch out for government by executive Lyndon B. Johnson, to Dean Rusk, or to McNamara. It belongs to you, the people. The only check you have left is the check of your ballots. Start using your ballots to vote out of office those in Congress and in the executive branch of the Government who are willing to conduct foreign policy as it is now being conducted; a policy that will kill thousands upon thousands of American boys in Asia in the years immediately ahead, if this President and his Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense are not checked.

It does not make me happy to find myself in such unalterable, irreconcilable disagreement with my President in regard to the killing operation that is taking place in South Vietnam. That killing must be stopped; and the killing will not be stopped by the kind of foreign aid bill we can be sure this administration will offer. It will not be stopped until Congress begins to exercise the check that the constitutional fathers wrote into the Constitution—the check of the purse strings.

I say to Congress that not until we make it very clear to this President that we are not going to vote the money for the escalating of the war in Vietnam and the killing, each week, of additional numbers of American boys, shall we avoid what I am satisfied will be, eventually, a war that will lead into China and will bog us down in Asia for decades to come.

Oh, I know that war hysteria is stalking the Nation.

Those who raise their voices, as I raisemy voice on the floor of the Senate again this afternoon, must expect to be castigated and attacked and smeared with .the statement that they will some way. somehow let down the boys in South Vietnam.

My answer is that there only happen to be a small number of us who are secking to protect those boys in South Vietnam. By denying to the President the power to escalate this war and by denying to him the funds, we will force him to fall back on a position such as a General Gavin, General Ridgeway, George Kennan, and a good many other authorities in this country are urging upon this administration.

more to maintain the status oug than to ple, not to the Secretary of State But of All President, this voice will not be but proposed thought be well as the fact of the fact will be well for the fact of the secretary of the status out this voice will not be but proposed thought be well for the fact of the secretary of the secret

kind of foreign aid program, let me assure everyone, that Dean Rusk will testify for in secreey on Monday.

I shall continue to say to the American people, "It is up to you. You now must exercise the greatest right of freedom that our constitutional fathers gave you, your right of the ballot." In my judgment, if that right is not exercised, and if we do not stop this trend toward government by secrecy and executive supremacy, then it is doubtful that we will leave a heritage of freedom to our grandchildren. Becaues if we are moving into world war III, there will be no freedom for future generations of American boys and girls.

One of my sadnesses these days is to face what I think irrefutable, that not many in the Congress of the United States, or, for that matter, not enough people in the country, are thinking in terms of the kind of a legacy we are going to leave American boys and girls 50 to 100 years from now. Let me say that we will leave them no legacy of freedom if our generation is responsible for leading the world into the third world war. And a continuation of this administration's foreign policy in Asia, in my judgment, assures a war with China, and from that we will go into world war III.